From: Hugh Coyle
To: Erin.Dowd
Subject: FW: Eagle Gold Mine Incident Notification
Date: June 24, 2024 12:59:43 PM
Attachments: image005.png
image006.png

Hugh
Hugh Coyle | VP Environment | T:604-696-6600 | C:604-349-6469 | F:604-682-5232

Suite 1000 - 1050 West Pender Street | Vancouver | BC | V6E 3S7

VGCX VICTORIA GOLD CORP xceptional xploration xcellence xpression xact xtraordinary xperts xcel xtra xperienced xecutives xert xplorer xhilarating

Click to view: Mine to Mint | Corporate Presentation

From: Erin.Dowd
To: Russell.McDiarmid; John.Minder
Cc: Jeremy.Karkanis
Subject: FW: Compliance Response IR 73-20240112-0857, Order 2
Date: June 24, 2024 3 05:47 PM
Attachments: image004.png
Appendix D2 - Water Quality Results VA24A0869_0_COA - Merged.pdf
20240122 VGCX IR 055-24 Preliminary Investigation Report-threat_extracted.pdf
Appendix A - Relevant 2024 stacking sequences.pdf
Appendix B - Relevant 3 Month Plan Stacking Sequences-threat_extracted.pdf
Appendix C - PHLF January 2024 Internal Ore Bench Slide Preliminary Assessment Rev 1.pdf
Appendix D1 - Water Quality Results VA24A0769_0_COA - Merged.pdf

---

FYI – will update if I get anything else

Also save on the I drive here [redacted]

Erin Dowd
Major Mines Licensing
867-667-3432

From: Meredith.Young <Meredith.Young@wcb.yk.ca>
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2024 2:19 PM
To: Erin.Dowd <Erin.Dowd@yukon.ca>
Cc: Scott.Allen <Scott.Allen@wcb.yk.ca>
Subject: FW: Compliance Response IR 73-20240112-0857, Order 2

Hi Erin,

This is their preliminary investigation results from the January failure. Scott is looking for more information for you right now.

Meredith

From: Kevin LeDrew <kledrew@vgcx.com>
Sent: January-22-24 3:35 PM
To: Scott.Allen <Scott.Allen@wcb.yk.ca>
Cc: Meredith.Young <Meredith.Young@wcb.yk.ca>; Timothy Fisch <tfisch@vgcx.com>; David Crottey <dcrottey@vgcx.com>; Tyler Christian <tchristian@vgcx.com>; Ross Bagnell <rbagnell@vgcx.com>; Ryan Noble <rnoble@vgcx.com>; Joel Poirier <jpoirier@vgcx.com>; J.R. Potter <jpotter@vgcx.com>; Doug Stewart <dstewart01@vgcx.com>; Joshua Cheyne <jcheyne@vgcx.com>; Bill Bowden <bbowden@vgcx.com>
Subject: RE: Compliance Response IR 73-20240112-0857, Order 2

Hello Scott,

I hope this email finds you well.

Please find the report of VGCX's preliminary investigation results regarding the January 6, 2024 'fall of ground' on the 1065 m elevation of the HLF.

Also included as appendices are the deposition sequencing event Plans for; 2024, the relevant 3-month plan, the EoR's preliminary assessment Memorandum, and copies of the most recent water quality analysis.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to reach out to us.

Best regards,

Kevin LeDrew | Superintendent, Health Safety and Security | T:867-456-7700x.6321 | C:867-332-1609

From: Kevin LeDrew
Sent: Friday, January 19, 2024 5:31 PM
To: Scott.Allen <Scott.Allen@wcb.yk.ca>
Cc: Meredith.Young@wcb.yk.ca; Timothy Fisch <tfisch@vgcx.com>; David Crottey <dcrottey@vgcx.com>; Tyler Christian <tchristian@vgcx.com>; Ross Bagnell <rbagnell@vgcx.com>; Ryan Noble <rnoble@vgcx.com>; Joel Poirier <jpoirier@vgcx.com>; J.R. Potter <jpotter@vgcx.com>; Doug Stewart <dstewart01@vgcx.com>; Joshua Cheyne <jcheyne@vgcx.com>; Bill Bowden <bbowden@vgcx.com>
Subject: Compliance Response IR 73-20240112-0857

Scott,

Please see the attached information related to the Orders issued under IR 73-20240112-0857.

Per this communication we are addressing Compliance Order #1 of the Inspection.

Order Number: 1

OHS Legislation: Workers' Safety and Compensation Act s. 60(j)

You are required to provide written notification of compliance to the orders issued below. This notification must be received on or before the compliance due date assigned to the order(s). Failure to comply with this order may result in the issuance of an administrative penalty.

As per previous phone conversation early today, we anticipate Order 2 will be satisfied with our submission documents, which are currently under final review.

In VGCX's ***Compliance Response Summary*** attached and the other (Order 3) supporting attachments, VGCX believes it has met the compliance requirements of Orders 3-5.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to reach out to us.

Best regards,

Kevin LeDrew | Superintendent, Health Safety and Security | T:867-456-7700x.6321 | C:867-332-1609
Eagle Gold Mine | YT |

Click to view: Mine to Mint | Corporate Presentation

right solutions.
right partner.

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order	: **VA24A0869**	Page	: 1 of 3
Client	: **Victoria Gold Corp.**	Laboratory	: ALS Environmental - Vancouver
Contact	: Bill Bowden	Account Manager	: Emmanuel Mariano
Address	: Suite 1000 - 1050 W. Pender St Vancouver BC Canada V6E 3S7	Address	: 8081 Lougheed Highway Burnaby BC Canada V5A 1W9
Telephone	: 867.456.7700 ext. 6381	Telephone	: +1 604 253 4188
Project	: SWQ Compliance - January	Date Samples Received	: 17-Jan-2024 23:15
PO	: 55600	Date Analysis Commenced	: 17-Jan-2024
C-O-C number	: 20240116	Issue Date	: 19-Jan-2024 16:30
Sampler	: FIL CB SM		
Site	: ----		
Quote number	: Victoria Gold Standing Offer 2024		
No. of samples received	: 2		
No. of samples analysed	: 2		

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QC Interpretive report to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification (SRN).

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is conducted in accordance with US FDA 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	*Position*	*Laboratory Department*
Kate Dimitrova	Supervisor - Inorganic	Inorganics, Burnaby, British Columbia
Nik Perkio	Inorganics Analyst	Inorganics, Waterloo, Ontario
Paolo Obillo	Account Manager Assistant	Administration, Burnaby, British Columbia

General Comments

The analytical methods used by ALS are developed using internationally recognized reference methods (where available), such as those published by US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, ASTM, ISO, Environment Canada, BC MOE, and Ontario MOE. Refer to the ALS Quality Control Interpretive report (QCI) for applicable references and methodology summaries. Reference methods may incorporate modifications to improve performance.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

Please refer to Quality Control Interpretive report (QCI) for information regarding Holding Time compliance.

Key : CAS Number: Chemical Abstracts Services number is a unique identifier assigned to discrete substances
LOR: Limit of Reporting (detection limit).

Unit	*Description*
°C	degrees celsius
mg/L	milligrams per litre
pH units	pH units

<: less than.

>: greater than.

Surrogate: An analyte that is similar in behavior to target analyte(s), but that does not occur naturally in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED on SRN or QCI Report, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Page : 3 of 3
Work Order : VA24A0869
Client : Victoria Gold Corp.
Project : SWQ Compliance - January

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: **Water**
(Matrix: **Water**)

					Client sample ID	HLFUMV	HLFUMV	----	----	----
					Client sampling date / time	16-Jan-2024 10:00	16-Jan-2024 10:55	----	----	----
Analyte	CAS Number	Method/Lab	LOR	Unit		VA24A0869-001	VA24A0869-002	--------	--------	--------
						Result	Result	—	—	—
Field Tests										
pH, field	----	EF001/VA	0.10	pH units		6.60	6.60	----	----	----
Temperature, field	----	EF001/VA	0.10	°C		5.90	5.90	----	----	----
Anions and Nutrients										
Cyanate	88402-73-7	E343/WT	0.20	mg/L		<0.20	<0.20	----	----	----
Cyanides										
Cyanide, strong acid dissociable (Total)	----	E333/VA	0.0050	mg/L		<0.0050	<0.0050	----	----	----
Cyanide, weak acid dissociable	----	E336/VA	0.0050	mg/L		<0.0050	<0.0050	----	----	----
Thiocyanate	302-04-5	E344/VA	0.50	mg/L		<0.50	<0.50	----	----	----

Please refer to the General Comments section for an explanation of any result qualifiers detected.

Please refer to the Accreditation section for an explanation of analyte accreditations.

ALS Canada Ltd.

right solutions.
right partner.

QUALITY CONTROL INTERPRETIVE REPORT

Work Order	: **VA24A0869**	Page	: 1 of 5
Client	: **Victoria Gold Corp.**	Laboratory	: ALS Environmental - Vancouver
Contact	: Bill Bowden	Account Manager	: Emmanuel Mariano
Address	: Suite 1000 - 1050 W. Pender St Vancouver BC Canada V6E 3S7	Address	: 8081 Lougheed Highway Burnaby, British Columbia Canada V5A 1W9
Telephone	: 867.456.7700 ext. 6381	Telephone	: +1 604 253 4188
Project	: SWQ Compliance - January	Date Samples Received	: 17-Jan-2024 23:15
PO	: 55600	Issue Date	: 19-Jan-2024 16:30
C O C number	: 20240116		
Sampler	: FIL CB SM		
Site	: ----		
Quote number	: Victoria Gold Standing Offer 2024		
No of samples received	: 2		
No. of samples analysed	: 2		

This report is automatically generated by the ALS LIMS (Laboratory Information Management System) through evaluation of Quality Control (QC) results and other QA parameters associated with this submission, and is intended to facilitate rapid data validation by auditors or reviewers. The report highlights any exceptions and outliers to ALS Data Quality Objectives, provides holding time details and exceptions, summarizes QC sample frequencies, and lists applicable methodology references and summaries.

Key

Anonymous: Refers to samples which are not part of this work order, but which formed part of the QC process lot.
CAS Number: Chemical Abstracts Service number is a unique identifier assigned to discrete substances.
DQO: Data Quality Objective.
LOR: Limit of Reporting (detection limit).
RPD: Relative Percent Difference.

Workorder Comments

Holding times are displayed as "---" if no guidance exists from CCME, Canadian provinces, or broadly recognized international references.

Summary of Outliers

Outliers : Quality Control Samples

- No Method Blank value outliers occur.
- No Duplicate outliers occur.
- No Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) outliers occur
- No Matrix Spike outliers occur.
- No Test sample Surrogate recovery outliers exist.

Outliers: Reference Material (RM) Samples

- No Reference Material (RM) Sample outliers occur.

Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance (Breaches)

- No Analysis Holding Time Outliers exist.

Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples

- No Quality Control Sample Frequency Outliers occur.

Analysis Holding Time Compliance

This report summarizes extraction / preparation and analysis times and compares each with ALS recommended holding times, which are selected to meet known provincial and /or federal requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by organizations such as CCME, US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, ASTM, or Environment Canada (where available). Dates and holding times reported below represent the first dates of extraction or analysis. If subsequent tests or dilutions exceeded holding times, qualifiers are added (refer to COA).

If samples are identified below as having been analyzed or extracted outside of recommended holding times, measurement uncertainties may be increased, and this should be taken into consideration when interpreting results.

Where actual sampling date is not provided on the chain of custody, the date of receipt with time at 00:00 is used for calculation purposes.

Where only the sample date without time is provided on the chain of custody, the sampling date at 00:00 is used for calculation purposes.

Matrix: **Water**

Evaluation: × = Holding time exceedance ; ✓ = Within Holding Time

Analyte Group : Analytical Method / Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Method	Sampling Date	Extraction / Preparation				Analysis			
			Preparation Date	Holding Times Rec	Holding Times Actual	Eval	Analysis Date	Holding Times Rec	Holding Times Actual	Eval
Anions and Nutrients : Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode										
HDPE - total (sodium hydroxide) HLFUMV, HLFUMV	E343	16-Jan-2024	----	----	----		18-Jan-2024	14 days	2 days	✓
Cyanides : Thiocyanate by Colourimetry										
HDPE (nitric acid) HLFUMV, HLFUMV	E344	16-Jan-2024	----	----	----		18-Jan-2024	14 days	2 days	✓
Cyanides : Total Cyanide										
UV-inhibited HDPE - total (sodium hydroxide) HLFUMV, HLFUMV	E333	16-Jan-2024	18-Jan-2024	14 days	2 days	✓	18-Jan-2024	14 days	2 days	✓
Cyanides : WAD Cyanide										
UV-inhibited HDPE - total (sodium hydroxide) HLFUMV, HLFUMV	E336	16-Jan-2024	18-Jan-2024	14 days	2 days	✓	18-Jan-2024	14 days	2 days	✓
Field Tests : Field pH,EC,Salinity,Cl2,ClO2,ORP,DO, Turbidity,T,T-P,o-PO4,NH3,Chloramine										
HDPE (nitric acid) HLFUMV, HLFUMV	EF001	16-Jan-2024	----	----	----		17-Jan-2024	----	1 days	

Legend & Qualifier Definitions

Rec. HT: ALS recommended hold time (see units).

Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance

The following report summarizes the frequency of laboratory QC samples analyzed within the analytical batches (QC lots) in which the submitted samples were processed. The actual frequency should be greater than or equal to the expected frequency.

Matrix: **Water**

Evaluation: × = *QC frequency outside specification*; ✓ = *QC frequency within specification.*

Quality Control Sample Type			Count		Frequency (%)		
Analytical Methods	*Method*	*QC Lot #*	*QC*	*Regular*	*Actual*	*Expected*	*Evaluation*
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)							
Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode	E343	1305384	1	9	11.1	5.0	✓
Thiocyanate by Colourimetry	E344	1305697	1	5	20.0	5.0	✓
Total Cyanide	E333	1305469	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
WAD Cyanide	E336	1305468	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)							
Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode	E343	1305384	1	9	11.1	5.0	✓
Thiocyanate by Colourimetry	E344	1305697	1	5	20.0	5.0	✓
Total Cyanide	E333	1305469	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
WAD Cyanide	E336	1305468	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
Method Blanks (MB)							
Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode	E343	1305384	1	9	11.1	5.0	✓
Thiocyanate by Colourimetry	E344	1305697	1	5	20.0	5.0	✓
Total Cyanide	E333	1305469	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
WAD Cyanide	E336	1305468	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
Matrix Spikes (MS)							
Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode	E343	1305384	1	9	11.1	5.0	✓
Thiocyanate by Colourimetry	E344	1305697	1	5	20.0	5.0	✓
Total Cyanide	E333	1305469	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
WAD Cyanide	E336	1305468	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓

Methodology References and Summaries

The analytical methods used by ALS are developed using internationally recognized reference methods (where available), such as those published by US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, ASTM, ISO, Environment Canada, BC MOE, and Ontario MOE. Reference methods may incorporate modifications to improve performance (indicated by "mod").

Analytical Methods	Method / Lab	Matrix	Method Reference	Method Descriptions
Total Cyanide	E333 ALS Environmental - Vancouver	Water	ISO 14403 (mod)	Total or Strong Acid Dissociable (SAD) Cyanide is determined by Continuous Flow Analyzer (CFA) with in-line UV digestion followed by colourmetric analysis. Method Limitation: High levels of thiocyanate (SCN) may cause positive interference (up to 0.5% of SCN concentration).
WAD Cyanide	E336 ALS Environmental - Vancouver	Water	APHA 4500-CN I (mod)	Weak Acid Dissociable (WAD) cyanide is determined by Continuous Flow Analyzer (CFA) with in-line distillation followed by colourmetric analysis.
Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode	E343 ALS Environmental - Waterloo	Water	APHA 4500-CN L (mod)	This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA method 4500-CN "Cyanide". Cyanate is determined by the Cyanate hydrolysis method using an ammonia selective electrode
Thiocyanate by Colourimetry	E344 ALS Environmental - Vancouver	Water	APHA 4500-CN M (mod)	Thiocyanate is determined by the ferric nitrate colourimetric method. Water samples containing high levels of hexavalent chromium, cyanide (together with sulfide), reducing agents, or hydrocarbons may cause negative or positive interferences with this method.
Field pH,EC,Salinity,Cl2,ClO2,ORP,DO, Turbidity,T,T-P,o-PO4,NH3,Chloramine	EF001 ALS Environmental - Vancouver	Water	Field Measurement (Client Supplied)	Field pH,EC,Salinity,Cl2,ClO2,ORP,DO, Turbidity,T,T-P,o-PO4,NH3 or Chloramine measurements provided by client and recorded on ALS report may affect the validity of results.

QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Work Order	:**VA24A0869**	Page	: 1 of 4
Client	:Victoria Gold Corp.	Laboratory	:ALS Environmental - Vancouver
Contact	:Bill Bowden	Account Manager	:Emmanuel Mariano
Address	:Suite 1000 - 1050 W. Pender St Vancouver BC Canada V6E 3S7	Address	:8081 Lougheed Highway Burnaby, British Columbia Canada V5A 1W9
Telephone	:	Telephone	:+1 604 253 4188
Project	:SWQ Compliance - January	Date Samples Received	:17-Jan-2024 23:15
PO	:55600	Date Analysis Commenced	:17-Jan-2024
C-O-C number	:20240116	Issue Date	:19-Jan-2024 16:31
Sampler	:FIL CB SM 867.456.7700 ext. 6381		
Site	:----		
Quote number	:Victoria Gold Standing Offer 2024		
No. of samples received	:2		
No. of samples analysed	:2		

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report; Relative Percent Difference (RPD) and Data Quality Objectives
- Matrix Sp ke (MS) Report; Recovery and Data Quality Objectives
- Method Blank (MB) Report; Recovery and Data Quality Objectives
- Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Report; Recovery and Data Quality Objectives

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is conducted in accordance with US FDA 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	*Position*	*Laboratory Department*
Kate Dimitrova	Supervisor - Inorganic	Vancouver Inorganics, Burnaby, British Columbia
Nik Perkio	Inorganics Analyst	Waterloo Inorganics, Waterloo, Ontario
Paolo Obillo	Account Manager Assistant	Vancouver Administration, Burnaby, British Columbia

Page : 2 of 4
Work Order : VA24A0869
Client : Victoria Gold Corp.
Project : SWQ Compliance - January

General Comments

The ALS Quality Control (QC) report is optionally provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS test methods include comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results. This report contains detailed results for all QC results applicable to this sample submission. Please refer to the ALS Quality Control Interpretation report (QCI) for applicable method references and methodology summaries.

Key :

Anonymous = Refers to samples which are not part of this work order, but which formed part of the QC process lot.
CAS Number = Chemical Abstracts Service number is a unique identifier assigned to discrete substances.
DQO = Data Quality Objective.
LOR = Limit of Reporting (detection limit).
RPD = Relative Percent Difference
# = Indicates a QC result that did not meet the ALS DQO.

Workorder Comments

Holding times are displayed as "---" if no guidance exists from CCME, Canadian provinces, or broadly recognized international references.

Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

A Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) is a randomly selected intralaboratory replicate sample. Laboratory Duplicates provide information regarding method precision and sample heterogeneity. ALS DQOs for Laboratory Duplicates are expressed as test-specific limits for Relative Percent Difference (RPD), or as an absolute difference limit of 2 times the LOR for low concentration duplicates within ~ 4-10 times the LOR (cut-off is test-specific).

Sub-Matrix: **Water**

					Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Analyte	CAS Number	Method	LOR	Unit	Original Result	Duplicate Result	RPD(%) or Difference	Duplicate Limits	Qualifier
Anions and Nutrients (QC Lot: 1305384)											
WR2400023-001	Anonymous	Cyanate	88402-73-7	E343	1.00	mg/L	1.76	1 83	0 08	Diff <2x LOR	---
Cyanides (QC Lot: 1305468)											
VA24A0869-001	HLFUMV	Cyanide, weak acid dissociable	---	E336	0 0050	mg/L	<0 0050	<0.0050	0	Diff <2x LOR	---
Cyanides (QC Lot: 1305469)											
VA24A0869-001	HLFUMV	Cyanide, strong acid dissociable (Total)	---	E333	0 0050	mg/L	<0 0050	<0.0050	0	Diff <2x LOR	---
Cyanides (QC Lot: 1305697)											
VA24A0861-001	Anonymous	Thiocyanate	302-04-5	E344	0.50	mg/L	<0.50	<0 50	0	Diff <2x LOR	---

Method Blank (MB) Report

A Method Blank is an analyte-free matrix that undergoes sample processing identical to that carried out for test samples. Method Blank results are used to monitor and control for potential contamination from the laboratory environment and reagents. For most tests, the DQO for Method Blanks is for the result to be < LOR.

Sub-Matrix: **Water**

Analyte	CAS Number	Method	LOR	Unit	Result	Qualifier
Anions and Nutrients (QCLot: 1305384)						
Cyanate	88402-73-7	E343	0 2	mg/L	<0.20	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1305468)						
Cyanide, weak acid dissociable	—	E336	0.002	mg/L	<0 0020	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1305469)						
Cyanide, strong acid dissociable (Total)	—	E333	0.002	mg/L	<0 0020	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1305697)						
Thiocyanate	302-04-5	E344	0 5	mg/L	<0.50	—

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Report

A Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) is an analyte-free matrix that has been fortified (spiked) with test analytes at known concentration and processed in an identical manner to test samples. LCS results are expressed as percent recovery, and are used to monitor and control test method accuracy and precision, independent of test sample matrix.

Sub-Matrix: **Water**

					Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Report				
					Spike	Recovery (%)	Recovery Limits (%)		
Analyte	CAS Number	Method	LOR	Unit	Concentration	LCS	Low	High	Qualifier
Anions and Nutrients (QCLot: 1305384)									
Cyanate	88402-73-7	E343	0.2	mg/L	1 mg/L	95 3	85 0	115	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1305468)									
Cyanide, weak acid dissociable	—	E336	0 002	mg/L	0.125 mg/L	97 3	80 0	120	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1305469)									
Cyanide, strong acid dissociable (Total)	—	E333	0 002	mg/L	0.25 mg/L	95.7	80 0	120	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1305697)									
Thiocyanate	302-04-5	E344	0.5	mg/L	10 mg/L	100	85 0	115	—

Page : 4 of 4
Work Order : VA24A0869
Client : Victoria Gold Corp.
Project : SWQ Compliance - January

Matrix Spike (MS) Report

A Matrix Spike (MS) is a randomly selected intra-laboratory replicate sample that has been fortified (spiked) with test analytes at known concentration, and processed in an identical manner to test samples. Matrix Spikes provide information regarding analyte recovery and potential matrix effects. MS DQO exceedances due to sample matrix may sometimes be unavoidable; in such cases, test results for the associated sample (or similar samples) may be subject to bias. ND – Recovery not determined, background level >= 1x spike level.

Sub-Matrix: **Water**

					Matrix Spike (MS) Report					
					Spike		Recovery (%)	Recovery Limits (%)		
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Analyte	CAS Number	Method	Concentration	Target	MS	Low	High	Qualifier
Anions and Nutrients (QCLot: 1305384)										
WR2400023-001	Anonymous	Cyanate	88402-73-7	E343	11.0 mg/L	10 mg/L	110	75 0	125	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1305468)										
VA24A0869-002	HLFUMV	Cyanide, weak acid dissociable	—	E336	0.124 mg/L	0.125 mg/L	99.2	75 0	125	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1305469)										
VA24A0869-002	HLFUMV	Cyanide, strong acid dissociable (Total)	—	E333	0.244 mg/L	0.25 mg/L	97.6	75 0	125	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1305697)										
VA24A0861-002	Anonymous	Thiocyanate	302-04-5	E344	10.6 mg/L	10 mg/L	106	75 0	125	—

24-192

ALS Environmental

www.alsglobal.com

Chain of Custody (COC) / Analytical Request Form

Canada Toll Free: 1 800 668 9878

Affix ALS barcode label here (lab use only)

COC Number: 20240116

Report To	Contact and company name below will appear on the final report
Company:	Victoria Gold (Yukon) Corp.
Contact:	John Jackson / Bill Bowden
Phone:	867-456-7700 x 6381
	Company address below will appear on the final report
Street:	100-1050 West Pender Street
City/Province:	Vancouver, BC
Postal Code:	V6E 3S7
Invoice To	Same as Report To ☐ YES ☑ NO
	Copy of Invoice with Report ☐ YES ☑ NO
Company:	
Contact:	

Report Format / Distribution

Select Report Format: ☑ PDF ☑ EXCEL ☑ EDD (DIGITAL)

Quality Control (QC) Report with Report ☐ YES ☐ NO

☐ Compare Results to Criteria on Report - provide details below if box checked

Select Distribution: ☑ EMAIL ☐ MAIL ☐ FAX

Email 1 or Fax DLEnvironmentalLabResults@vgcx.com

Email 2 importer@victoriagold.ehsdata.com

Email 3

Email 4

Invoice Distribution

Select Invoice Distribution: ☐ EMAIL ☐ MAIL ☐ FAX

Email 1 or Fax invoices@vgcx.com

Email 2 bbowden@vgcx.com; jjackson@vgcx.com

Select Service Level Below - Contact your AM to confirm all E&P TATs (surcharges may apply)

Regular [R] ☐ Standard TAT if received by 3 pm - business days - no surcharges apply

PRIORITY (Business Days): 4 day [P4-20%] ☐ | 3 day [P3-25%] ☐ | 2 day [P2-50%] ☐

EMERGENCY: 1 Business day [E - 100%] ☐ | Same Day, Weekend or Statutory holiday [E2 -200% (Laboratory opening fees may apply)] ☑

Date and Time Required for all E&P TATs: dd-mmm-yy hh:mm

For tests that can not be performed according to the service level selected, you will be contacted.

Project Information

ALS Account # / Quote #:	
Job #:	SWQ Compliance - January
PO / AFE:	55600
LSD:	

Oil and Gas Required Fields (client use)

AFE/Cost Center:	PO#
Major/Minor Code:	Routing Code:
Requisitioner:	
Location:	

ALS Lab Work Order # (lab use only):

ALS Contact: Emmanual Mariano **Sampler:** FIL CB SM

Analysis Request

Indicate Filtered (F), Preserved (P) or Filtered and Preserved (F/P) below

ALS Sample # (lab use only)	Sample Identification and/or Coordinates (This description will appear on the report)	Date (dd-mmm-yy)	Time (hh:mm)	Sample Type	P	CN1	Field Temp	Field pH	Number of Containers	SUSPECTED HAZARD (see Special Instructions)
	HLFUMV	16-Jan-24	10:00	Water		E2	5.9	6.60	4	
	HLFUMV	16-Jan-24	10:55	Water		E2	5.6	6.60	4	

Environmental Division
Vancouver
Work Order Reference
VA24A0869

Telephone : +1 604 253 4188

Drinking Water (DW) Samples[1] (client use)

Are samples taken from a Regulated DW System? ☐ YES ☑ NO

Are samples for human consumption/ use? ☐ YES ☑ NO

Special Instructions / Specify Criteria to add on report by clicking on the drop-down list below (electronic COC only)

_0.xlsx format and s.xlsx format in addition to our normal NABRN results.

SAMPLE CONDITION AS RECEIVED (lab use only)

Frozen ☐ | SIF Observations Yes ☐ No ☐

Ice Packs ☑ Ice Cubes ☐ | Custody seal intact Yes ☐ No ☐

Cooling Initiated ☐

INITIAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C				FINAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C			
				0			

SHIPMENT RELEASE (client use)			INITIAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)			FINAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)		
Released by: Ben Widdowson	Date: 1/16/2024	Time: 10:55	Received by:	Date:	Time:	Received by: MG	Date: 1/17	Time: 1115

REFER TO BACK PAGE FOR ALS LOCATIONS AND SAMPLING INFORMATION

WHITE - LABORATORY COPY YELLOW - CLIENT COPY

JUNE 2018 FRONT

Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy.

1. If any water samples are taken from a Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System, please submit using an Authorized DW COC form.

VICTORIA GOLD CORP
TSX | VGCX

MEMO

Date	January 22, 2024
To	Scott Allen Issuing Safety Officer Workers' Safety and Compensation Board Yukon
From	Tim Fisch Vice President, General Manager of the Eagle Gold Mine Victoria Gold Corp.
Subject	Order Number 2 I.R. NO. 73-2024012-0587

The following information is provided to comply with the Corrective Measure Order Number 2 resulting from the inspection undertaken on January 9, 2024, specifically:

> *OFFENCE DESCRIPTION*
>
> *A fall of ground event took place on the southeast corner of the Heap Leach Facility on January 6, 2024. In accordance with Part 3, Section 60 (2)(d)(i) of the Workers' Safety and Compensation Act, Workplace Health and Safety is requesting a completed investigation report for this incident.*
>
> *WORKERS' SAFETY AND COMPENSATION ACT*
>
> *60*
>
> *(2) Without limiting subsection (1), an officer may*
>
> *(d) make any examinations, inquiries, inspections, and investigations that the officer considers necessary*
>
> *(i) to determine the cause and particulars of any incident or of any injury to or death of a worker, or*
>
> *CORRECTIVE MEASURES*
>
> *The Mine Manager shall provide a finalized investigation report for this incident.*
>
> *Provide all supporting documentation and/or photographs related to the investigation of this incident to the issuing Safety Officer.*

This investigation report is currently considered **preliminary**.

Based on discussions with the Engineer of Record (EOR) for the Heap Leach Facility (HLF), Forte Dynamics, and the experience of Victoria Gold Corp. (VGC) personnel, it has been determined that a mode of failure cannot be reliably understood without the completion of the earthworks and analyses described herein.

A schedule for this work is being produced in conjunction with the EOR and we will provide updates to your office as soon as they are available or at your convenience.

VICTORIA GOLD CORP
TSX | VGCX

MEMO

Contents

1 INCIDENT SUMMARY

At approximately 11:30 am on January 6, 2024, approximately 14,000 tonnes of unleached, crushed ore that had been stacked on the 1065 lift in the south-east corner of the Heap Leach Facility (HLF) at the Eagle Gold Mine sloughed onto the 1041m elevation.

There were no injuries or release of material to the surrounding environment because of this event. Currently, there has been no confirmed damage to the HLF and a detailed plan for determining the status of the HLF is ongoing.

An overview of the slide area and other relevant imagery is provided below in Photo 1 to Photo 3.

Photo 1: Drone Overview of Slide Area

Photo 2: Ground Level Photo Facing East of Slide Area

Photo 3: Minesite Generated Graphic of Slide Relative to Solution Distribution Infrastructure

2 SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

December 15, 2023,	2024 Stacking Sequence Finalized.
	Plan specifically designed to achieve design criteria including all bench heights and stable configuration.
	Section of Plan relevant to area of event provided as Appendix A.
	Stacking began on the SE finger of the 1065m elevation.
January 1, 2024,	HLF Three Month Plan based on 2024 Stacking Sequence Finalized.
	Plan refines monthly work plan to comply with overall 2024 sequencing based on operational considerations while considering overall design consideration.
	Section of Plan relevant to area of event provided as Appendix B.
January 3, 2024,	Process Department planning personnel identify potential hazard with interim lift heights and propose modification to dumping and spread practices to ensure personnel safety. Note, this approach (interim bench lift heights and stacking response) has been utilized previously and is commonly used for stockpile and waste dump development and construction.
	Process Department stacking personnel relay requirement for alternative dumping and spreading practice to both day and night shift HLF supervisors.
January 3 - 6, 2024	Modified stacking practices enacted.
January 5, 2024,	At the morning toolbox meeting, it was discussed with operational crews that there was potential for sloughing on the 1065 lift. General Foreman discusses the hazards, identifies areas with potential risk, reiterates the revised stacking practices.
January 6, 2024,	At approximately 7:30 am, the dozer operator pushing the ore on the elevation observes cracking around the crest of the 1065 lift and immediately reports this to his supervisor.
	The Supervisor immediately reported to the location. Confirms the cracking, diverts all heavy haul trucks away from the location, informs D8 Dozer operator to remain back from the fractured area. Instructs HLF personnel to remove auxiliary equipment that could be in the potential slough zone and directs personnel to place barriers and blockades in the estimated slough zone.
	At approximately 9:00 am, Process General Foreman was advised of the potential issue. GF requests investigation support from the Technical Services Department. Advised by the Technical Services Department that Senior Geotech would report to location after completing work in the open pit.
	At approximately 11:30 am, material sloughing was experienced. The event was immediately reported to the Process Superintendent, the Manager of Safety (HSS) and the VP/General Manager of the Eagle Gold Mine.

VICTORIA GOLD CORP
TSX | VGCX

MEMO

January 7, 2024,	On the morning of Jan 7, 2024, the Manager of HSS notified the WHSB of the fall of ground failure by telephone and provided a follow-up email at 1425, summarizing the events as known at that time. The same day, a summary of events was also provided, by memorandum, to the EOR requesting further investigation and remediation support.
January 11, 2024,	Preliminary Assessment and Recommendations received from EOR for VGCX review and feedback.
January 22, 2024,	Revised Assessment and Recommendations received from EOR. Assessment provided as Appendix C.

3 ONGOING MONITORING

Based on the EOR assessment and recommendation (Appendix C), the rate and quality of flow within the HLF underdrains are being monitored by the Environmental Department. Three rounds of water quality samples from the HFL UMV have been collected and analysis. At the time of this report, two sample results have been received from ALS Solutions and there have been no detections of cyanide in any sample.

The rate of flow into the HLF UMV continues to be within expected ranges for intercepted groundwater suggesting that there has been no change to subsurface flows (i.e., there is no indication of leakage from the HLF).

The Process Department continues to conduct daily onsite titrations as a rapid detection method for the presence of cyanide in the HLF UMV. There have been no detections of cyanide from site or third-party analysis of water quality collected at the downstream monitoring location, see Appendix D (1 & 2) for the results from ALS Canada.

The Process Department continues to perform daily observations and the Technical Services team are providing support through drone monitoring to verify that the current structure and the failed material area continues to remain stable.

4 CURRENT VGC INVESTIGATION STATUS AND PATH FORWARD

Based on ongoing discussions with the EOR, it is clear that an accurate determination of the failure mode cannot be made until the subsurface investigation is complete in accordance with the recommendation of the EOR. A representative from Forte Dynamics is scheduled to arrive on the mine site this week to support the ongoing investigation and further advance remediation planning and liner and underdrain assessment.

In addition, and in alignment with Forte Dynamic's Technical Memorandum, Appendix C

- Leaching in areas that were within the buffer were halted,
- Leaching will not take place within buffer until the EOR has completed their assessment.
- Monitoring water quality and physical stability of the area is ongoing as discussed in Section 3.
- Based on the ongoing investigation, and in consultation with the Forte Dynamics representative when he attends site, the requirement for liner repair will be established if necessary.
- Status reports for the advancement of planning and execution of this work will be provided to YWHSB as it becomes available.

Forte Dynamics Technical Memorandum, ***PHLF January 2024 Internal Ore Bench Slide Preliminary Assessment and Recommendations***, Appendix C, provides clear recommendation and action steps for VGCX in furthering this investigation to completion and includes the following,

- A review of the subgrade and foundation as-built conditions to assess if the current or near future leaching cycles present a risk of leach fluid migration in the potentially affected area. This assessment will also evaluate whether any underdrains are present in the potentially affected area and, therefore, may have been damaged.
- Recommendations to stabilize the affected area prior to excavation of material from the toe or other investigation actions.
- Preliminary recommendations for investigation and monitoring of the affected area to evaluate potential impacted of the ore slide on the liner underdrain systems.

VICTORIA GOLD CORP
TSX | VGCX

MEMO

APPENDIX A - RELEVANT 2024 STACKING SEQUENCE

VICTORIA GOLD CORP
TSX | VGCX

MEMO

APPENDIX B - RELEVANT 3 MONTH PLAN STACKING SEQUENCES

APPENDIX C - EOR ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX D – WATER QUALITY RESULTS

April 2024





June 2024





July 2024





October 2024







VGCX

VICTORIA GOLD CORP

xceptional xploration
xcellence xpression xact
xtraordinary xperts xcel
xtra xperienced xecutives
xert xplorer xhilarating

TSX. VGCX OTC: VITFF

3-Month Stacking Plan
January 1st, 2024

Overview: 3 Month Stacking Plan

- Stacking follows budget rate for January, February and March
- Stack 1077 Loadout Zone (303,000 tonnes) in March
- Leaching & Stacking advancement changes from West to East in February, with 1053 NW (previous Heavy Access Road) Pad filled to design (55,000 tonnes)
- Stacking operations limited to allow barren line moves during in Spring
- Winter haul truck ramps designed at 8% grade as dual lane road width, with ripping/rotation sequence each week
- Causeway in place for grasshopper access and storage, replacement causeway around perimeter of 1053 in March
- Utilizes advanced stacking strategy from a stationary stacker with 2 grasshoppers and load/haul with 1 x 993K and 2 x 785D
- 5 grasshoppers required 3 on-standby, 2 in-string – rotation of grasshoppers recommended as required with wear

HLF – Advancements



HLF – January 2024

Stacking

1. 1065 = 805,460 t
2. 1053 = 55,000 t
3. Causeway = 6,000 t

Leaching

1. Add = 45,623 m^2
2. Remove = 45,646 m^2

Infrastructure

-Shallow side slope tie-in to WDL
-Finish GH causeway, extension as req.
- 1053 NW fill-in to design

Legend

Leach Cells

Distribution / Leach Line

Stacking



Forecast: 863,460t

HLF – February 2024

Stacking

1. 1065 = 846,102 t

Leaching

1. Add = 24,663 m^2
2. Remove = 34,965 m^2

Infrastructure

-Shallow side slope toe-in to WDL

Legend

- Leach Cells
- Distribution / Leach Line
- Stacking



Forecast: 846,102t

HLF – March 2024

Stacking

1. 1065 = 472,494 t
2. 1077 = 303,000 t
3. Causeway = 36,675

Leaching

1. Add = 32,112 m^2
2. Remove = 46,402 m^2

Infrastructure

-Heavy Hauler Causeway
-Stack 1077 Loadout Zone

Legend

Leach Cells

Distribution / Leach Line

Stacking



Forecast: 812,469t

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To:	Ross Bagnell	**Project No.:**	109029
From:	Roy Morlan Forte Dynamics Inc.	**Date:**	January 21, 2024

PHLF January 2024 Internal Ore Bench Slide Preliminary Assessment and Recommendations

INTRODUCTION

On January 6, 2024 an ore slide who's crest was at lift 1065 was experienced within the Primary Heap Leach Facility (PHLF) at the Victoria Gold Corp. (VGC) Eagle Gold Mine. This slide was promptly reported to Forte on January 7, 2024 in a summary memorandum titled "Fracturing on the 1065 Leading to Slide" developed by the VGC Processing Department. This memorandum provides details from site engineers and workers who witnessed and/or were responding to the incident. The VGC memorandum is included in ***Attachment 1***. Additional photographs and a post-slide topographic survey was provided to Forte by VGC on January 8. The additional photographs are included in ***Attachment 2***. The topographic information was used to develop the figures that are included in this memorandum.

The purpose of this memorandum is provide a preliminary assessment of potential slide impacts and a path forward for investigation of the PHLF liner and foundation in the area that may have been affected by the slide. In accordance with the OMS, the response plan includes properly addressing this situation according to the established protocols. In adherence with the OMS, this memorandum includes the following items to aide in assessing potential impacts of the slide:

- A review of the subgrade and foundation as-built conditions to assess if the current or near future leaching cycles present a risk of leach fluid migration in the potentially affected area. This assessment will also evaluate whether any underdrains are present in the potentially affected area and, therefore, may have been damaged.
- Recommendations to stabilize the affected area prior to excavation of material from the toe or other investigation actions.
- Preliminary recommendations for investigation and monitoring of the affected area to evaluate potential impacted of the ore slide on the liner underdrain systems.

1. REVIEW OF ORE SLIDE INFORMATION

Figure 1 below shows the planned heap bench build out prior to the incident. Ore placement in this area was occurring at the time of the slide.



Figure 1: The blue shaded area shows the planned build out prior to the slide.

The surface after the ore slide is included in ***Figure 2*** and ***Photograph 1***. Based on the photographs provided by VGC and a preliminary analysis of the post slide topography the slide appears to be a block-type slide (i.e. translational and not rotational). This suggests that the impacts to the foundation and liner may be limited to the base of the newly stacked area and the extent of the final slide toe. However, the extent and severity of these impacts cannot be known until the liner in this area is uncovered and evaluated. Due to the potential of leakage of leachate solution through the geomembrane and GCL into the underdrains from this ore slide, Forte believes a conservative approach to evaluating the extent of impacts to the liner system and foundation is warranted. Forte has made a preliminary estimate of the likely extent of potential impacts by projecting the limits of the observed head scarp at the top of the slide area to the liner grade elevation assuming the upper portion of the failure block is oriented at a slope that can be approximated by the Rankine Active critical slope angle (W.J. Rankine, "On the Stability of Loose Earth" published in 1856). This is a common assumption when performing block-type analyses, with the upper (driving) end of the failure surface oriented at:

Failure Angle (from horizontal) = $45^o + (\varphi/2) = 45^o + (38^o / 2) = 64^o$

This equation was used to estimate the approximate inclination at which the sliding mass likely initiated its descent (64^o) to the liner elevation. In the context of material movement with a concealed slide angle toe, applying this equation allows for an educated estimation of where the failure plane intersects with a weaker

layer, in this case, the liner surface. Based on these results the area with the highest potential of impact to the liner is presented in ***Figure 2***.

It should be noted that this assessment is presented as an estimate based on available data provided after the slide and is intended as a basis for developing an investigation and remediation plan.



Figure 2: Area after slide.



Photograph 1: Photograph of slide. Photograph facing southeast.

VGC provided Forte with a figure showing the areas of the PHLF that are currently under leach. Forte analyzed subgrade as-built information to determine flow paths in areas around the slide. Given the current leaching regime it is expected that leachate solution would not flow to the affected area based on the topography of the liner surface (***Figure 3***). However, residual drainage may be experienced from existing benches which were recently under leach. Anticipated paths are include in ***Figure 3***. It should be noted that these flow paths were generated using Civil 3D's Waterdrop command which simulates flow of water over a open topography. It is presented here to show the general direction of flow. However, as fluid flows through the ore and ODF it will spread laterally through the ore due to capillarity and pore pressures as the fluid is added to the heap surface.



Figure 3: Subgrade contours and expected flow paths based on liner geometry.

Pregnant Leachate Solution (PLS) piping installed in the ODF exists under the area of the slide and has likely been disturbed or damaged. Future investigations will be used to evaluate the extent of the damage. Underdrains exist below the liner surface in the subgrade. It is unknown if these underdrains were damaged during the slide. The underdrain locations relative to the slide are shown in ***Figure 4***.



Figure 4: Underdrain as-built location in the area of the slide.

As discussed above, applied fluid is expected to spread laterally in the ore and ODF from the active leach area. The exact geometry of lateral spreading is not known, but is typically affected (increased) by horizontal low-permeability layers, anisotropy of permeability, and partially-saturated conditions within the ore zone. Additionally, two underdrains are present in the potentially affected area (See ***Figure 4***). Therefore, Forte believes that a buffer zone should be maintained around the slide where leaching is avoided to prevent lateral migration of PLS to any potential holes in the liner. As a conservative approach Forte developed a minimum lateral buffer of 100m and relative to any flow paths that could lead to the affected area. The buffer zone is included in ***Figure 5***.



Figure 5: Upstream area that should not be leached.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS TO STABILIZE THE AFFECTED AREA

Prior to investigating the affected area or removing material from the toe, the scarp should be regraded to prevent further sliding. To stabilize the area, regrading of the slope should begin at the top of the scarp and working downwards to methodically reduce the slope to a safe angle. This top-down approach allows for better control and minimizes the risk of further destabilizing the slope during the regrading and unloading process. Excavators can be used to remove loose material at the crown prior to it being trafficked by a dozer. Throughout this process, the goal is to achieve a stable slope of 3:1 (horizontal to vertical). This is a basic stable slope for most conditions. A steeper slope can be considered but may require further analysis. It is crucial to ensure that the equipment operators are experienced in slope work and that the movement of the machinery is coordinated to maintain slope stability at all times. Slope monitoring, including a visual spotter should be present at all times during this work and should inspect the ground for new or enlarging

tension cracks at the crown or slope. Equipment should not traverse over tension cracks. A grading plan should be developed to determine the final shape of the regrading and should allow for the toe of the regraded surface to meet the subgrade elevation at a 3:1 slope and not rely on toe material to buttress the slope, as this material will be removed.

3. PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS TO INVESTIGATE THE INTEGRITY OF THE LINER SYSTEM AND SUBGRADE

Once the slide has been stabilized, removal of the material at the toe can begin. Based on the photographs, pre-slide topography, and post-slide topography it is anticipated that the affected area is potentially limited to the area within ***Figure 2***. However, this will need to be confirmed during the investigation. To expose the liner Forte recommends the following:

- Increased monitoring of the underdrains for PLS solution should be performed to determine if there is currently any solution flowing to the underdrains. Forte recommends bi-weekly sampling of both the blanket drain outflow and the drainpipe that receives water from this area (the two east most pipes entering the monitoring vault).
- An excavation and grading plan should be developed prior to excavating the slide material to ensure the entire affected area is covered and to plan safety. Excavation of the slide material should be performed to a depth of approximately 1m above the as-built liner surface. This can be performed with standard heavy equipment such as dozers, smaller haul trucks such as an articulated dump truck. It should be noted that ODF appears to have been uplifted and pushed out at the toe of the slide. Therefore, ODF may not be encountered while excavating and subgrade as-built elevations, as opposed to visual observation of the occurrence of ODF material, should be used to determine when a depth of 1m of cover above the liner has been reached. Additionally, all standard requirements for trafficking over the liner established in the Phase 1 Detailed Engineering should be followed, including additional thickness of material for loaded haul trucks and restrictions on speed and sharp turning while loaded.
- Excavation of the final 1m should be performed by “softening” the excavator bucket. This can be done by using a flat bucket (instead of one with teeth) and affixing softer wiper material, such as a conveyor belt, to the blade of the bucket. A visual spotter should be present to watch the excavation and to direct the excavator operator when there is potential to damage the liner below. If it is determined that the excavator cannot remove material without damaging the liner below VGC should be prepared to remove the final amount of material manually using plastic or coated shovels. The excavator operator and supervisor should be experienced in performing fine detailed procedures using this equipment.
- Prior to inspection of the liner a liner inspection work plan should be completed by EoR. An engineer familiar with liner inspection should be present during this work. The engineer should make the determination of where damage is minor, significant (but without rupture), and where there are holes or ruptures. The engineer should also assess and identify areas where the liner has been elongated. Photographs should be taken of each damaged area and properly labeled. Forte recommends that one of our engineers travel to site to oversee this work or an equally competent engineer who has performed similar work for Tetra Tech.
- A surveyor should be present to record the locations of damage identified by the engineer.
- Once investigation activities are complete a brief summary report should be completed to document the investigation activities, issues, and to present the findings. This report will be used by the liner contractor and engineer to design repairs to the subgrade, underdrains (if needed), liner system, and piping.

ATTACHMENT 1

Fracturing on the 1065 Leading to Slide VGC, 2024

VICTORIA GOLD CORP
TSX | VGCX

MEMO

Mitigations Leading up to Incident:

On January 3rd, concerns regarding safe operation of the active 1065 lift were brought up by the planning group. The lift had a portion that was up to 33 meters in height due to the geometry of the area. It was brought forward that to safely advance in the area, trucks were to dump short, and the dozer push the material to the crest. This message was conveyed to all supervisors and crews during the toolbox meetings. Active leaching progression in the area was delayed until the final stacking limits were to be achieved. The overall planned stacking limits are viewed in the following figure.

Planned Stacking Extents using January 4th Surveyed Surface.



VICTORIA GOLD CORP
TSX | VGCX

MEMO

The following figures display the progression of the area leading up to the failure on January 6th.

January 2nd, 2023



January 3rd, 2023



January 4th, 2023



VICTORIA GOLD CORP
TSX | VGCX

MEMO

January 5th, 2023



January 6th, 2023



ATTACHMENT 2

Photographs provided by the Site

right solutions.
right partner.

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

Work Order	: **VA24A0769**	Page	: 1 of 3
Client	: **Victoria Gold Corp.**	Laboratory	: ALS Environmental - Vancouver
Contact	: Bill Bowden	Account Manager	: Emmanuel Mariano
Address	: Suite 1000 - 1050 W. Pender St Vancouver BC Canada V6E 3S7	Address	: 8081 Lougheed Highway Burnaby BC Canada V5A 1W9
Telephone	: 867.456.7700 ext. 6381	Telephone	: +1 604 253 4188
Project	: SWQ Compliance - January	Date Samples Received	: 15-Jan-2024 11:55
PO	: 55600	Date Analysis Commenced	: 15-Jan-2024
C-O-C number	: 20240112	Issue Date	: 17-Jan-2024 09:56
Sampler	: BW, JJ		
Site	: ----		
Quote number	: Victoria Gold Standing Offer 2024		
No. of samples received	: 1		
No. of samples analysed	: 1		

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Certificate of Analysis contains the following information:

- General Comments
- Analytical Results

Additional information pertinent to this report will be found in the following separate attachments: Quality Control Report, QC Interpretive report to assist with Quality Review and Sample Receipt Notification (SRN).

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is conducted in accordance with US FDA 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	*Position*	*Laboratory Department*
Miles Gropen	Department Manager - Inorganics	Inorganics, Burnaby, British Columbia
Paolo Obillo	Account Manager Assistant	Administration, Burnaby, British Columbia
Walt Kippenhuck	Supervisor - Inorganic	Inorganics, Waterloo, Ontario

General Comments

The analytical methods used by ALS are developed using internationally recognized reference methods (where available), such as those published by US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, ASTM, ISO, Environment Canada, BC MOE, and Ontario MOE. Refer to the ALS Quality Control Interpretive report (QCI) for applicable references and methodology summaries. Reference methods may incorporate modifications to improve performance.

Where a reported less than (<) result is higher than the LOR, this may be due to primary sample extract/digestate dilution and/or insufficient sample for analysis.

Where the LOR of a reported result differs from standard LOR, this may be due to high moisture content, insufficient sample (reduced weight employed) or matrix interference.

Please refer to Quality Control Interpretive report (QCI) for information regarding Holding Time compliance.

Key : CAS Number: Chemical Abstracts Services number is a unique identifier assigned to discrete substances
LOR: Limit of Reporting (detection limit).

Unit	*Description*
°C	degrees celsius
mg/L	milligrams per litre
pH units	pH units

<: less than.

>: greater than.

Surrogate: An analyte that is similar in behavior to target analyte(s), but that does not occur naturally in environmental samples. For applicable tests, surrogates are added to samples prior to analysis as a check on recovery.

Test results reported relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED on SRN or QCI Report, ALL SAMPLES WERE RECEIVED IN ACCEPTABLE CONDITION.

Qualifiers

Qualifier	*Description*
PHA	*pH adjusted before analysis.*

Analytical Results

Sub-Matrix: Water
(Matrix: Water)

Analyte	CAS Number	Method/Lab	LOR	Unit	Client sample ID: HLFUMV	----	----	----	----
					Client sampling date / time: 12-Jan-2024 15:45	----	----	----	----
					VA24A0769-001	--------	--------	--------	--------
					Result	---	---	---	---
Field Tests									
pH, field	----	EF001/VA	0.10	pH units	6.70	----	----	----	----
Temperature, field	----	EF001/VA	0.10	°C	6.70	----	----	----	----
Anions and Nutrients									
Cyanate	88402-73-7	E343/WT	0.20	mg/L	<0.20	----	----	----	----
Cyanides									
Cyanide, strong acid dissociable (Total)	----	E333/VA	0.0050	mg/L	<0.0050 PHA	----	----	----	----
Cyanide, weak acid dissociable	----	E336/VA	0.0050	mg/L	<0.0050 PHA	----	----	----	----
Thiocyanate	302-04-5	E344/VA	0.50	mg/L	<0.50	----	----	----	----

Please refer to the General Comments section for an explanation of any result qualifiers detected.

Please refer to the Accreditation section for an explanation of analyte accreditations.

ALS Canada Ltd.

right solutions.
right partner.

QUALITY CONTROL INTERPRETIVE REPORT

Work Order	: **VA24A0769**	Page	: 1 of 5
Client	: **Victoria Gold Corp.**	Laboratory	: ALS Environmental - Vancouver
Contact	: Bill Bowden	Account Manager	: Emmanuel Mariano
Address	: Suite 1000 - 1050 W. Pender St Vancouver BC Canada V6E 3S7	Address	: 8081 Lougheed Highway Burnaby, British Columbia Canada V5A 1W9
Telephone	: 867.456.7700 ext. 6381	Telephone	: +1 604 253 4188
Project	: SWQ Compliance - January	Date Samples Received	: 15-Jan-2024 11:55
PO	: 55600	Issue Date	: 17-Jan-2024 09:56
C O C number	: 20240112		
Sampler	: BW, JJ		
Site	: ----		
Quote number	: Victoria Gold Standing Offer 2024		
No of samples received	: 1		
No. of samples analysed	: 1		

This report is automatically generated by the ALS LIMS (Laboratory Information Management System) through evaluation of Quality Control (QC) results and other QA parameters associated with this submission, and is intended to facilitate rapid data validation by auditors or reviewers. The report highlights any exceptions and outliers to ALS Data Quality Objectives, provides holding time details and exceptions, summarizes QC sample frequencies, and lists applicable methodology references and summaries.

Key

Anonymous: Refers to samples which are not part of this work order, but which formed part of the QC process lot.
CAS Number: Chemical Abstracts Service number is a unique identifier assigned to discrete substances.
DQO: Data Quality Objective.
LOR: Limit of Reporting (detection limit).
RPD: Relative Percent Difference.

Workorder Comments

Holding times are displayed as "---" if no guidance exists from CCME, Canadian provinces, or broadly recognized international references.

Summary of Outliers

Outliers : Quality Control Samples

- No Method Blank value outliers occur.
- No Duplicate outliers occur.
- No Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) outliers occur
- No Matrix Spike outliers occur.
- No Test sample Surrogate recovery outliers exist.

Outliers: Reference Material (RM) Samples

- No Reference Material (RM) Sample outliers occur.

Outliers : Analysis Holding Time Compliance (Breaches)

- No Analysis Holding Time Outliers exist.

Outliers : Frequency of Quality Control Samples

- Quality Control Sample Frequency Outliers occur - please see following pages for full details.

Analysis Holding Time Compliance

This report summarizes extraction / preparation and analysis times and compares each with ALS recommended holding times, which are selected to meet known provincial and /or federal requirements. In the absence of regulatory hold times, ALS establishes recommendations based on guidelines published by organizations such as CCME, US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, ASTM, or Environment Canada (where available). Dates and holding times reported below represent the first dates of extraction or analysis. If subsequent tests or dilutions exceeded holding times, qualifiers are added (refer to COA).

If samples are identified below as having been analyzed or extracted outside of recommended holding times, measurement uncertainties may be increased, and this should be taken into consideration when interpreting results.

Where actual sampling date is not provided on the chain of custody, the date of receipt with time at 00:00 is used for calculation purposes.

Where only the sample date without time is provided on the chain of custody, the sampling date at 00:00 is used for calculation purposes.

Matrix: **Water**

Evaluation: × = Holding time exceedance ; ✓ = Within Holding Time

Analyte Group : Analytical Method / Container / Client Sample ID(s)	Method	Sampling Date	Extraction / Preparation				Analysis			
			Preparation Date	Holding Times Rec	Holding Times Actual	Eval	Analysis Date	Holding Times Rec	Holding Times Actual	Eval
Anions and Nutrients : Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode										
HDPE - total (sodium hydroxide) HLFUMV	E343	12-Jan-2024	----	----	----		17-Jan-2024	14 days	5 days	✓
Cyanides : Thiocyanate by Colourimetry										
HDPE (nitric acid) HLFUMV	E344	12-Jan-2024	----	----	----		15-Jan-2024	14 days	3 days	✓
Cyanides : Total Cyanide										
UV-inhibited HDPE - total (sodium hydroxide) HLFUMV	E333	12-Jan-2024	16-Jan-2024	14 days	4 days	✓	16-Jan-2024	14 days	4 days	✓
Cyanides : WAD Cyanide										
UV-inhibited HDPE - total (sodium hydroxide) HLFUMV	E336	12-Jan-2024	16-Jan-2024	14 days	4 days	✓	16-Jan-2024	14 days	4 days	✓
Field Tests : Field pH,EC,Salinity,Cl2,ClO2,ORP,DO, Turbidity,T,T-P,o-PO4,NH3,Chloramine										
HDPE (nitric acid) HLFUMV	EF001	12-Jan-2024	----	----	----		16-Jan-2024	----	4 days	

Legend & Qualifier Definitions

Rec. HT: ALS recommended hold time (see units).

Quality Control Parameter Frequency Compliance

The following report summarizes the frequency of laboratory QC samples analyzed within the analytical batches (QC lots) in which the submitted samples were processed. The actual frequency should be greater than or equal to the expected frequency.

Matrix: **Water**

Evaluation: ✗ = QC frequency outside specification; ✓ = QC frequency within specification.

Quality Control Sample Type			Count		Frequency (%)		
Analytical Methods	*Method*	*QC Lot #*	*QC*	*Regular*	*Actual*	*Expected*	*Evaluation*
Laboratory Duplicates (DUP)							
Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode	E343	1304374	1	8	12.5	5.0	✓
Thiocyanate by Colourimetry	E344	1303166	1	20	5.0	5.0	✓
Total Cyanide	E333	1303476	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
WAD Cyanide	E336	1303477	1	1	100.0	5.0	✓
Laboratory Control Samples (LCS)							
Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode	E343	1304374	1	8	12.5	5.0	✓
Thiocyanate by Colourimetry	E344	1303166	1	20	5.0	5.0	✓
Total Cyanide	E333	1303476	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
WAD Cyanide	E336	1303477	1	1	100.0	5.0	✓
Method Blanks (MB)							
Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode	E343	1304374	1	8	12.5	5.0	✓
Thiocyanate by Colourimetry	E344	1303166	1	20	5.0	5.0	✓
Total Cyanide	E333	1303476	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
WAD Cyanide	E336	1303477	1	1	100.0	5.0	✓
Matrix Spikes (MS)							
Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode	E343	1304374	1	8	12.5	5.0	✓
Thiocyanate by Colourimetry	E344	1303166	1	20	5.0	5.0	✓
Total Cyanide	E333	1303476	1	2	50.0	5.0	✓
WAD Cyanide	E336	1303477	0	1	0.0	5.0	✗

Methodology References and Summaries

The analytical methods used by ALS are developed using internationally recognized reference methods (where available), such as those published by US EPA, APHA Standard Methods, ASTM, ISO, Environment Canada, BC MOE, and Ontario MOE. Reference methods may incorporate modifications to improve performance (indicated by "mod").

Analytical Methods	Method / Lab	Matrix	Method Reference	Method Descriptions
Total Cyanide	E333 ALS Environmental - Vancouver	Water	ISO 14403 (mod)	Total or Strong Acid Dissociable (SAD) Cyanide is determined by Continuous Flow Analyzer (CFA) with in-line UV digestion followed by colourmetric analysis. Method Limitation: High levels of thiocyanate (SCN) may cause positive interference (up to 0.5% of SCN concentration).
WAD Cyanide	E336 ALS Environmental - Vancouver	Water	APHA 4500-CN I (mod)	Weak Acid Dissociable (WAD) cyanide is determined by Continuous Flow Analyzer (CFA) with in-line distillation followed by colourmetric analysis.
Cyanate by Ion Selective Electrode	E343 ALS Environmental - Waterloo	Water	APHA 4500-CN L (mod)	This analysis is carried out using procedures adapted from APHA method 4500-CN "Cyanide". Cyanate is determined by the Cyanate hydrolysis method using an ammonia selective electrode
Thiocyanate by Colourimetry	E344 ALS Environmental - Vancouver	Water	APHA 4500-CN M (mod)	Thiocyanate is determined by the ferric nitrate colourimetric method. Water samples containing high levels of hexavalent chromium, cyanide (together with sulfide), reducing agents, or hydrocarbons may cause negative or positive interferences with this method.
Field pH,EC,Salinity,Cl2,ClO2,ORP,DO, Turbidity,T,T-P,o-PO4,NH3,Chloramine	EF001 ALS Environmental - Vancouver	Water	Field Measurement (Client Supplied)	Field pH,EC,Salinity,Cl2,ClO2,ORP,DO, Turbidity,T,T-P,o-PO4,NH3 or Chloramine measurements provided by client and recorded on ALS report may affect the validity of results.

QUALITY CONTROL REPORT

Work Order	:**VA24A0769**	Page	: 1 of 4
Client	:Victoria Gold Corp.	Laboratory	:ALS Environmental - Vancouver
Contact	:Bill Bowden	Account Manager	:Emmanuel Mariano
Address	:Suite 1000 - 1050 W. Pender St Vancouver BC Canada V6E 3S7	Address	:8081 Lougheed Highway Burnaby, British Columbia Canada V5A 1W9
Telephone	:	Telephone	:+1 604 253 4188
Project	:SWQ Compliance - January	Date Samples Received	:15-Jan-2024 11:55
PO	:55600	Date Analysis Commenced	:15-Jan-2024
C-O-C number	:20240112	Issue Date	:17-Jan-2024 09:56
Sampler	:BW, JJ 867.456.7700 ext. 6381		
Site	:----		
Quote number	:Victoria Gold Standing Offer 2024		
No. of samples received	:1		
No. of samples analysed	:1		

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with this reference. Results apply to the sample(s) as submitted. This document shall not be reproduced, except in full.

This Quality Control Report contains the following information:

- Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report; Relative Percent Difference (RPD) and Data Quality Objectives
- Matrix Sp ke (MS) Report; Recovery and Data Quality Objectives
- Method Blank (MB) Report; Recovery and Data Quality Objectives
- Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Report; Recovery and Data Quality Objectives

Signatories

This document has been electronically signed by the authorized signatories below. Electronic signing is conducted in accordance with US FDA 21 CFR Part 11.

Signatories	*Position*	*Laboratory Department*
Miles Gropen	Department Manager - Inorganics	Vancouver Inorganics, Burnaby, British Columbia
Paolo Obillo	Account Manager Assistant	Vancouver Administration, Burnaby, British Columbia
Walt Kippenhuck	Supervisor - Inorganic	Waterloo Inorganics, Waterloo, Ontario

Page	:	2 of 4
Work Order	:	VA24A0769
Client	:	Victoria Gold Corp.
Project	:	SWQ Compliance - January

General Comments

The ALS Quality Control (QC) report is optionally provided to ALS clients upon request. ALS test methods include comprehensive QC checks with every analysis to ensure our high standards of quality are met. Each QC result has a known or expected target value, which is compared against predetermined Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) to provide confidence in the accuracy of associated test results. This report contains detailed results for all QC results applicable to this sample submission. Please refer to the ALS Quality Control Interpretation report (QCI) for applicable method references and methodology summaries.

Key :

Anonymous = Refers to samples which are not part of this work order, but which formed part of the QC process lot.
CAS Number = Chemical Abstracts Service number is a unique identifier assigned to discrete substances.
DQO = Data Quality Objective.
LOR = Limit of Reporting (detection limit).
RPD = Relative Percent Difference
# = Indicates a QC result that did not meet the ALS DQO.

Workorder Comments

Holding times are displayed as "---" if no guidance exists from CCME, Canadian provinces, or broadly recognized international references.

Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report

A Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) is a randomly selected intralaboratory replicate sample. Laboratory Duplicates provide information regarding method precision and sample heterogeneity. ALS DQOs for Laboratory Duplicates are expressed as test-specific limits for Relative Percent Difference (RPD), or as an absolute difference limit of 2 times the LOR for low concentration duplicates within ~ 4-10 times the LOR (cut-off is test-specific).

Sub-Matrix: **Water**

					Laboratory Duplicate (DUP) Report						
Laboratory sample ID	*Client sample ID*	*Analyte*	*CAS Number*	*Method*	*LOR*	*Unit*	*Original Result*	*Duplicate Result*	*RPD(%) or Difference*	*Duplicate Limits*	*Qualifier*
Anions and Nutrients (QC Lot: 1304374)											
VA24A0556-001	Anonymous	Cyanate	88402-73-7	E343	0.40	mg/L	<0.40	<0.40	0	Diff <2x LOR	---
Cyanides (QC Lot: 1303166)											
VA24A0556-002	Anonymous	Thiocyanate	302-04-5	E344	0.50	mg/L	0.55	0.55	0.0009	Diff <2x LOR	---
Cyanides (QC Lot: 1303476)											
VA24A0769-001	HLFUMV	Cyanide, strong acid dissociable (Total)	---	E333	0.0050	mg/L	<0.0050	<0.0050	0	Diff <2x LOR	---
Cyanides (QC Lot: 1303477)											
VA24A0769-001	HLFUMV	Cyanide, weak acid dissociable	---	E336	0.0050	mg/L	<0.0050	<0.0050	0	Diff <2x LOR	---

Method Blank (MB) Report

A Method Blank is an analyte-free matrix that undergoes sample processing identical to that carried out for test samples. Method Blank results are used to monitor and control for potential contamination from the laboratory environment and reagents. For most tests, the DQO for Method Blanks is for the result to be < LOR.

Sub-Matrix: **Water**

Analyte	CAS Number	Method	LOR	Unit	Result	Qualifier
Anions and Nutrients (QCLot: 1304374)						
Cyanate	88402-73-7	E343	0 2	mg/L	<0.20	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1303166)						
Thiocyanate	302-04-5	E344	0 5	mg/L	<0.50	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1303476)						
Cyanide, strong acid dissociable (Total)	—	E333	0.002	mg/L	<0 0020	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1303477)						
Cyanide, weak acid dissociable	—	E336	0.002	mg/L	<0 0020	—

Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Report

A Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) is an analyte-free matrix that has been fortified (spiked) with test analytes at known concentration and processed in an identical manner to test samples. LCS results are expressed as percent recovery, and are used to monitor and control test method accuracy and precision, independent of test sample matrix.

Sub-Matrix: **Water**

					Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Report				
					Spike	Recovery (%)	Recovery Limits (%)		
Analyte	CAS Number	Method	LOR	Unit	Concentration	LCS	Low	High	Qualifier
Anions and Nutrients (QCLot: 1304374)									
Cyanate	88402-73-7	E343	0.2	mg/L	1 mg/L	88 9	85 0	115	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1303166)									
Thiocyanate	302-04-5	E344	0.5	mg/L	10 mg/L	103	85 0	115	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1303476)									
Cyanide, strong acid dissociable (Total)	—	E333	0 002	mg/L	0.25 mg/L	96 8	80 0	120	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1303477)									
Cyanide, weak acid dissociable	—	E336	0 002	mg/L	0.125 mg/L	101	80 0	120	—

Page : 4 of 4
Work Order : VA24A0769
Client : Victoria Gold Corp.
Project : SWQ Compliance - January

Matrix Spike (MS) Report

A Matrix Spike (MS) is a randomly selected intra-laboratory replicate sample that has been fortified (spiked) with test analytes at known concentration, and processed in an identical manner to test samples. Matrix Spikes provide information regarding analyte recovery and potential matrix effects. MS DQO exceedances due to sample matrix may sometimes be unavoidable; in such cases, test results for the associated sample (or similar samples) may be subject to bias. ND – Recovery not determined, background level >= 1x spike level.

Sub-Matrix: **Water**

					Matrix Spike (MS) Report					
					Spike		Recovery (%)	Recovery Limits (%)		
Laboratory sample ID	Client sample ID	Analyte	CAS Number	Method	Concentration	Target	MS	Low	High	Qualifier
Anions and Nutrients (QCLot: 1304374)										
VA24A0556-001	Anonymous	Cyanate	88402-73-7	E343	3.97 mg/L	4 mg/L	99.3	75 0	125	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1303166)										
VA24A0556-004	Anonymous	Thiocyanate	302-04-5	E344	9.65 mg/L	10 mg/L	96.5	75 0	125	—
Cyanides (QCLot: 1303476)										
VA24A0769-001	HLFUMV	Cyanide, strong acid dissociable (Total)	—	E333	0.484 mg/L	0.5 mg/L	96.8	75 0	125	—

24-192

Chain of Custody (COC) / Analytical Request Form

ALS Environmental
www.alsglobal.com

Canada Toll Free: 1 800 668 9878

Affix ALS barcode label here
(lab use only)

COC Number: 20240112

Page 1 of 1

Report To	Contact and company name below will appear on the final report
Company:	Victoria Gold (Yukon) Corp.
Contact:	John Jackson / Bill Bowden
Phone:	867-456-7700 x 6381
	Company address below will appear on the final report
Street:	100-1050 West Pender Street
City/Province:	Vancouver, BC
Postal Code:	V6E 3S7

Report Format / Distribution

Select Report Format: ☑ PDF ☑ EXCEL ☑ EDD (DIGITAL)
Quality Control (QC) Report with Report ☐ YES ☐ NO
☐ Compare Results to Criteria on Report - provide details below if box checked
Select Distribution: ☑ EMAIL ☐ MAIL ☐ FAX
Email 1 or Fax: DLEnvironmentalLabResults@vgcx.com
Email 2: importer@victoriagold.ehsdata.com
Email 3:
Email 4:

Select Service Level Below - Contact your AM to confirm all E&P TATs (surcharges may apply)

Regular [R] ☐ Standard TAT if received by 3 pm - business days - no surcharges apply

PRIORITY (Business Days)
- 4 day [P4-20%] ☐
- 3 day [P3-25%] ☐
- 2 day [P2-50%] ☐

EMERGENCY
- 1 Business day [E - 100%] ☑
- Same Day, Weekend or Statutory holiday [E2 -200% (Laboratory opening fees may apply)] ☐

Date and Time Required for all E&P TATs: dd-mmm-yy hh:mm
For tests that can not be performed according to the service level selected, you will be contacted.

Invoice To	Same as Report To ☐ YES ☑ NO
	Copy of Invoice with Report ☐ YES ☑ NO
Company:	
Contact:	

Invoice Distribution

Select Invoice Distribution: ☐ EMAIL ☐ MAIL ☐ FAX
Email 1 or Fax: invoices@vgcx.com
Email 2: bbowden@vgcx.com; jjackson@vgcx.com

Project Information

ALS Account # / Quote #:	
Job #:	SWQ Compliance - January
PO / AFE:	55600
LSD:	

Oil and Gas Required Fields (client use)

AFE/Cost Center:	PO#
Major/Minor Code:	Routing Code:
Requisitioner:	
Location:	

ALS Lab Work Order # (lab use only):

ALS Contact: Emmanual Mariano Sampler: JJ BW

Analysis Request

Indicate Filtered (F), Preserved (P) or Filtered and Preserved (F/P) below

ALS Sample # (lab use only)	Sample Identification and/or Coordinates (This description will appear on the report)	Date (dd-mmm-yy)	Time (hh:mm)	Sample Type	P	CN1	Field Temp	Field pH	Number of Containers	SUSPECTED HAZARD (see Special Instructions)
	HLFUMV	12-Jan-24	15:45	Water		E1	6.7	6.70	4	

Environmental Division
Vancouver
Work Order Reference
VA24A0769

Telephone : +1 604 253 4188

Drinking Water (DW) Samples (client use)

Are samples taken from a Regulated DW System? ☐ YES ☑ NO
Are samples for human consumption/ use? ☐ YES ☑ NO

Special Instructions / Specify Criteria to add on report by clicking on the drop-down list below (electronic COC only)

_0.xlsx format and s.xlsx format in addition to our normal NABRN results.

SAMPLE CONDITION AS RECEIVED (lab use only)

Frozen ☐ SIF Observations Yes ☐ No ☐
Ice Packs ☑ Ice Cubes ☐ Custody seal intact Yes ☐ No ☐
Cooling Initiated ☐

INITIAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C				FINAL COOLER TEMPERATURES °C			

SHIPMENT RELEASE (client use)			INITIAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)			FINAL SHIPMENT RECEPTION (lab use only)		
Released by: Ben Widdowson	Date: 1/13/2024	Time: 17:20	Received by:	Date:	Time:	Received by: CW	Date: Jan 15	Time: 1155

REFER TO BACK PAGE FOR ALS LOCATIONS AND SAMPLING INFORMATION

WHITE - LABORATORY COPY YELLOW - CLIENT COPY

Failure to complete all portions of this form may delay analysis. Please fill in this form LEGIBLY. By the use of this form the user acknowledges and agrees with the Terms and Conditions as specified on the back page of the white - report copy.

1. If any water samples are taken from a **Regulated Drinking Water (DW) System**, please submit using an **Authorized DW COC form**.

From: John.Minder
To: Hugh Coyle; John Jackson
Subject: Re: Eagle Gold inspection
Date: June 25, 2024 6:32:51 AM

Hi Hugh & John,

We are leaving Mayo soon and should arrive at camp by 09:00.

John

From: Hugh Coyle <hcoyle@vgcx.com>
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2024, 6:51 PM
To: John.Minder; John Jackson
Subject: RE: Eagle Gold inspection

Thank you for the update, John.

Hugh Coyle | VP Environment | T:604-696-6600 | C:604-349-6469 | F:604-682-5232

From: John.Minder <John.Minder@yukon.ca>
Sent: Monday, June 24, 2024 6:46 PM
To: Hugh Coyle <hcoyle@vgcx.com>; John Jackson <jjackson@vgcx.com>
Subject: Eagle Gold inspection

Hi Hugh,
We likely won't make it to Eagle Gold this evening, got delayed along the way and haven't even made it to Mayo yet. Instead of getting there late, we will come out tomorrow morning. Should arrive on site by 10:00.
John

From: Mark Ayranto
To: Lauren.Haney
Subject: Fwd: (Press?) Helicopter at HLF
Date: June 26, 2024 9:17:44 AM

Any chance you can assist with the road?
Mark Ayranto

Begin forwarded message:

From: Timothy Fisch <tfisch@vgcx.com>
Date: June 26, 2024 at 7:06:10 AM GMT-7
To: Mark Ayranto <mayranto@vgcx.com>
Cc: John Mcconnell - CEO <john.mcconnell@jcmcconnell.com>
Subject: RE: (Press?) Helicopter at HLF

We are preparing a NOTAM application here at site, which we will submit later this morning. I'll copy you on the submission. Also, road closures at Pelly/Stewart are impacting our pump and reagent deliveries. I am wondering if a call to YWFM with a request to escort can get any traction. Your thoughts ?

Timothy Fisch | VP and General Manager | T:867-456-7700x.6444 | C:867-334-2371

From: Mark Ayranto <mayranto@vgcx.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2024 6:34 AM
To: Timothy Fisch <tfisch@vgcx.com>
Cc: John Mcconnell - CEO <john.mcconnell@jcmcconnell.com>
Subject: Re: (Press?) Helicopter at HLF

Thx Tim
I will see what I can do this morning. M
Mark Ayranto

On Jun 26, 2024, at 5:16 AM, Timothy Fisch <tfisch@vgcx.com> wrote:

Mark: FYI. We were preparing to get our survey pick ups with the drone. Not sure we can get a NOTAM issued , but the public needs to be aware there is a lot of drone activity in the area right now.
Appreciate your thoughts

Timothy Fisch | VP and General Manager | T:867-456-7700x.6444 | C:867-334-2371

From: Alexandru Popa <apopa@vgcx.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2024 8:46 PM
To: Timothy Fisch <tfisch@vgcx.com>; Tyler Christian <tchristian@vgcx.com>

Subject: (Press?) Helicopter at HLF

Gentlemen,

Just to let you know, we had to abort the Underhill fly over for the HLF, as without notice, we are dealing with a helicopter that showed up out of nowhere. This is very worrisome for this type of activity without proper notice on our end. We will conclude our fly over tomorrow morning.

Thanks,

Alex

Alexandru Popa, P.Eng. | Senior Mine Engineer | T:867-456-7700 x.6315

Eagle Gold Mine | YT |

<image001.png>

Click to view: Mine to Mint | Corporate Presentation

From: John.Minder
To: Hugh Coyle; John Jackson
Cc: Tyler.Williams
Subject: RE: Water Resources site visit
Date: June 26, 2024 11:46:00 AM
Attachments: image001.png

Hi Hugh,

Tyler Williams is en route to Eagle Gold today and intends to arrive there later this afternoon.

Here's his contact info:

Tyler.Williams <Tyler.Williams@yukon.ca>
Water Resources Scientist
Environment | Water Resources Branch
Cell: 867-334-6062

John

From: Hugh Coyle <hcoyle@vgcx.com>
Sent: June 26, 2024 10:22 AM
To: John.Minder <John.Minder@yukon.ca>; John Jackson <jjackson@vgcx.com>
Subject: RE: Water Resources site visit

Hi John,

Could you please let us know who from Water Resources Branch reached out to you?

Thanks,

Hugh

Hugh Coyle | VP Environment | T:604-696-6600 | C:604-349-6469 | F:604-682-5232

From: John.Minder <John.Minder@yukon.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2024 10:13 AM
To: John Jackson <jjackson@vgcx.com>
Cc: Hugh Coyle <hcoyle@vgcx.com>
Subject: Water Resources site visit

Hi John,

Env. Yukon, Water Resources Branch requested VGC contact info for a potential site visit.

I have provided them with your contact info to make any necessary arrangements.

Thanks,

John

Yukon

John Minder
Natural Resource Officer
Energy Mines and Resources | Compliance Monitoring and Inspections
T 867-334-9257 | Yukon.ca

Cc: Richelle.MacIntosh <Richelle.MacIntosh@yukon.ca>; Carla.Bohman <Carla.Bohman@yukon.ca>; hpw-communications <hpw-communications@yukon.ca>; EMO.Yukon <EMO.Yukon@yukon.ca>; Courtney.Quinn <Courtney.Quinn@yukon.ca>
Subject: RE: South McQuestion Road

Thanks Ian. Is this related to a fire?

Richard J. Gorczyca
Assistant Deputy Minister |
Highways and Public Works | Transportation |
C 867-336-1080 | Yukon.ca

I respectfully acknowledge that I work within the Traditional Territories of the Kwanlin Dün First Nation and the Ta'an Kwäch'än Council.

From: Ian.Duncan <Ian.Duncan@yukon.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2024 7:37 PM
To: Catherine.Harwood <Catherine.Harwood@yukon.ca>; Richard.Gorczyca <Richard.Gorczyca@yukon.ca>; Mackenzie.Ingram <Mackenzie.Ingram@yukon.ca>
Cc: Richelle.MacIntosh <Richelle.MacIntosh@yukon.ca>; Carla.Bohman <Carla.Bohman@yukon.ca>; hpw-communications <hpw-communications@yukon.ca>; EMO.Yukon <EMO.Yukon@yukon.ca>; Courtney.Quinn <Courtney.Quinn@yukon.ca>
Subject: South McQuestion Road

Good Evening All,

We have closed the South McQuestin Road, North of Mayo upon request from Wildland Fire Management.

511, and EMO have been updated.
Crews are putting barricades and signs in place, it will be unoccupied overnight.

Cheers,

Ian Duncan (he/him)
Traffic Control Operations Manager
Highways & Public Works | Transportation Maintenance Branch | Operational Support Unit | T 867-471-0861 | C 867-334-1337 | W-12 | Yukon.ca

I respectfully acknowledge that I live and work within the traditional territories of the Kwanlin Dün First Nation and the Ta an Kwäch än Council. I also recognize and respect all of Yukon s original peoples and their traditional territories.